

Goal Attainment Scaling

Jayne Mohar, MS OTR Stacey Nichols, MS OTR, CTRS

Center for Community Partnerships Colorado State University





What is 'Goal Attainment Scaling?'

- Goal attainment scaling (GAS) is an individualized approach for measuring the achievement of goals (King et al., 1999).
- GAS was originally developed to assess adults in a community mental health setting, but has since been applied to numerous practice areas, including education, health, and social work (MacKay, Somerville, & Lundie, 1996).





Goal Attainment Scaling Method

• The original GAS scale uses a 5-point scale, ranging from -2 to +2, with zero representing the expected level of performance after intervention.

Levels	Kiresuk, Smith, & Cardillo (1994)
- 2	Much less than expected outcome
- 1	Somewhat less than expected outcome
0	Projected level of performance
+1	Somewhat more than expected outcome
+2	Much more than expected outcome





Levels	King et al. (1999)
- 2	Baseline
- 1	Less than expected
0	Expected level
+1	Greater than expected
+2	Much greater than expected

Levels	Steenbeek et al. (2007)
+2	A lot more
+1	A little more
0	As expected
-1	Partially achieved
-2	Same as baseline
-3	Worse

Alternative Methods

Levels	
1	No change
2	Small improvement
3	Interest in goal
4	Takes some action towards goal
5	Maintains new behavior
6	Committed to achieving goal
7	Achieves goal





Our preferred format:

I LOST GROUND o	
Where I am right now.	
I'm getting close to my goal.	
I reached my goal!	
I did better than expected!	





Applying Goal Attainment Scaling in Practice

Involves...

- 1. Identifying the overall *objective*
- Identifying the specific challenge areas that should be supported
- Specifically identifying the behaviors or events that will indicate improvement in each area selected
- Determining the methodology that will be used to collect the desired information
- 5. Identifying *outcomes* for scaling
- 6. Determining the student's *current status* and how progress will be measured





Advantages of using Goal Attainment Scaling with Project SEARCH

- Provides a quantitative measure of outcome
- Can be used to compare a student's progress over time
- Can be used to compare performances across students in the same program (Ottenbacher & Cusick, 1989)
- Allows for a collaborative approach-working with the student to set realistic goals
- Provides flexibility for measuring diverse outcomes





Limitations of Goal Attainment Scaling

- It is important to be aware of the potential limitations prior to implementation
 - Scaling can be a time-consuming process
 - Biases can occur in goal setting, scaling, and rating
 - Temptation to modify goals throughout the course of intervention





What is the Student's Overall Objective?

- Setting your expected level of outcome or the desired goal:
 - For example, you and the student may agree that developing self-advocacy skills is the overall goal.





What are the student's specific challenge areas?

- Requires prioritizing areas of concern and formulating goals that are SMART.
- When goal objectives are prioritized they are given a weight, which is used to convert the scale score into a standard score.







SMART Goals

- Specific= a specific goal has a much greater chance of being achieved. It is the who, what, where, when, which and why of the goal.
- Measurable=establish concrete criteria for measuring progress.
- Attainable=help students set goals that are meaningful to them and that they want to achieve.
- Relevant=a goal must represent an objective toward which you are both willing and able to work.
- Time-specific=a goal should be grounded within a time-frame.







SMART Goals

- Reminder must be measurable, but also realistic!
- Ask yourself:
 - Can I reasonably measure goal attainment with the goals my student and I have established?
 - Are the objectives we've established significantly different and of equal increments?
 - Am I measuring a change in behavior or skill development?





What behaviors and skills will indicate improvement?

- This step involves creating a scale that will be useful for measuring changes in performance
- For the student working on self advocacy skills, this may involve creating a scale based on the number of times the student expresses or communicates his/her needs in the workplace





Tips for Scaling Goals

- Select the expected level of performance
- Identify the range of potential outcomes
- Again, it is important to set objectives that are SMART
- The scale intervals should be as equal as possible





How to write GOALS



Goal Attainment Scaling Worksheet

Goal:	
NIIMEDICAL LEVEL:	DESCRIPTIVE LEVEL OF GOAL ATTAINMENT.

I LOST GROUND o
Where I am right now.
I'm getting close to my goal.
I reached my goal!
I did better than expected!





Goal Attainment Scaling Worksheet Example

Goal: Self-advocating for needs with work supervisors when feeling overwhelmed

NUMERICAL LEVEL: DESCRIPTIVE LEVEL OF GOAL ATTAINMENT:

I lost ground 0	Does not identify that she is overwhelmed or the stressors that caused being overwhelmed
Where I am right now 1	Does not immediately identify when she is overwhelmed in order to self-advocate for needs to reduce stress
I'm getting close to my goal 2	Identifies that she is overwhelmed but does not self- advocate for needs to reduce stress
I reached my goal!	Identifies that she is overwhelmed and self-advocates for needs with appropriate person to reduce stress
I did better than expected! 4	Talking with appropriate person when student identifies situations that may result in her feeling overwhelmed



How is Data collected?

- How will information be collected, and who will collect it? Ideally, use information from multiple sources:
 - Job Coach observations
 - Student self-reports
 - Employer feedback
 - Classroom teacher input





INTERACTIVE EXAMPLES

• Let's practice writing G.A.S. Goals!!





References

- King, G.A., McDougal, J., Palisano, R.J., Gritzan, J., & Tucker, M.A. (1999). Goal attainment scaling: its use in evaluating pediatric therapy programs. *Physical and Occupational Therapy in Pediatrics*, p. 31-52.
- Kiresuk, T.J., Smith, A., & Cardillo, J.E. (1994). Goal Attainment Scaling: Application, Theory, & Measurement. Hilldale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- McKay, G., Somerville, W., & Lundie, J. (1996). Reflections on goal attainment scaling (GAS): cautionary notes and proposals for development. *Education Research*, 38,2, 161-172.
- Ottenbacher, K.J. & Cusick, A. (1990) Goal attainment scaling as a method of clinical service evaluation. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 44*, 6, 519-525.
- Steenbeek, D., Ketelaar, M., Galama, K., & Gorter, J.W. (2007). Goal attainment scaling in pediatric rehabilitation: a critical review of the literature. *Dev Med Child Neurol*, 49, 550-556.





Our Contact Information

- Jayne Mohar, MS OTR
 - Jayne.Mohar@colostate.edu
 - (970) 491-0235
- Stacey Nichols, MS OTR, CTRS
 - Stacey.L.Nichols@colostate.edu
 - (970) 491-6669







Project | SEARCH



